Vectorizing Persistence Using Relative Homology

Benedikt Fluhr

Bielefeld University

4th Workshop on Computational Persistence

The Unravelled Relative Homology Lattice

- For a finite set X, let Filtr_X be the set of monotone maps
 K_•: [0,∞) → 2^X, δ ↦ K_δ with {{x} | x ∈ X} ⊆ K₀ and K_δ a simplicial complex for any δ ≥ 0.
- Given a finite point cloud $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we then have $\mathrm{Del}_{\bullet}(X) \in \mathrm{Filtr}_X$.
- For K_• ∈ Filtr_X the associated *relative homology lattice* [Deh55] in degree d ∈ N is

$$\{(s,t)\in [0,\infty)^2\mid s\leq t\}
ightarrow {
m Vect}_{\mathbb F},\,(s,t)\mapsto H_d(K_t,K_s;\mathbb F),$$

which is closely related to the unravelled relative homology lattice

$$h(K_{\bullet}) \colon \mathbb{M} \to \operatorname{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}}, \ u \mapsto h(u; K_{\bullet})$$

with $\mathbb{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ a subposet shown in Fig. 1



Figure 1: The poset \mathbb{M} and the support for an indecomposable on the left. Unravelled persistence diagrams of two point clouds on the right; from a sphere (red disc) and a torus (green cross).

Vectorization as Hilbert Function

For a functor $F \colon \mathbb{M} \to \operatorname{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}}$ we have the Hilbert function

$$\operatorname{Hilb}(F)\colon \mathbb{M}\to\mathbb{R},\ u\mapsto \dim_{\mathbb{F}}F(u).$$

In summary:

- $\blacktriangleright \ \mathbb{R}^n \supset X \mapsto \mathrm{Del}_{\bullet}(X) \in \mathrm{Filtr}_X$
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Filtr}_X \ni K_{\bullet} \mapsto h(K_{\bullet}) \in \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{M}}$
- $\blacktriangleright \operatorname{Vect}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathbb{M}} \ni F \mapsto \operatorname{Hilb}(F) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{M}}$

For a finite point cloud $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $\operatorname{Hilb}(h(\operatorname{Del}_{\bullet}(X)) \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{M})$ and a factorization



This way we obtain a *Hilbert kernel* on graded persistence diagrams [CEH07] implemented in persunraveltorch.

Results for the Hilbert Kernel

Binary classification of noisy point clouds from a sphere and a torus with subsampling:

- ▶ 10 point clouds for training each
- 250 points per point cloud with 50% of noise sampled uniformly from an enclosing cube
- 25 subsamples of 30 points each
- ► Accuracy: 95%
- Cross entropy: 0.3



Figure 2: Subsamples of a noisy point cloud; from a sphere (top) and a torus (bottom).

Interpretation of Classifier

As the Hilbert kernel comes from an embedding into square-integrable functions $\mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}$, we have a straightforward interpretation of the SVC:



Figure 3: A rendering of the SVCs normal to the separating hyperplane (left) and the tessellation of \mathbb{M} by homological degree (right).

Extension to Biplane

Given a function $f \colon \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ we may define another function

$$ilde{f}: egin{array}{ccc} \{0,1\} imes \mathbb{M} & o \mathbb{R}, \ (0, & u) & \mapsto f(u), \ (1, & u) & \mapsto f(\Sigma(u)) \end{array}$$

on the biplane $\{0,1\} \times \mathbb{M}$, where $\Sigma \colon \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{M}$ is a glide reflection corresponding to the degree-shift for the unravelled relative homology lattice.

Extension of $\tilde{f}: \{0,1\} \times \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ by zero yields a function $\hat{f}: \{0,1\} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$.

We apply this to both, the Hilbert function of the unravelled relative homology lattice and the *unravelled rank invariant* inspired by [Wan+23] to obtain a "biplane bitmap" with two channels.

Biplane Cross-Correlation

We have a group action

$$(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^2) \times (\{0,1\} \times \mathbb{R}^2) \to \{0,1\} \times \mathbb{R}^2,$$

 $((k,v), (d,u)) \mapsto T^k(d,v+u),$

where

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{T}\colon \{0,1\} imes \mathbb{R}^2 &
ightarrow \{0,1\} imes \mathbb{R}^2, \ &(0,u)\mapsto (1,u), \ &(1,u)\mapsto (0,u-(\mathrm{shift},\mathrm{shift})) \end{aligned}$$

and shift is twice the width of \mathbb{M} .

For V a Euclidean vector space and compactly supported $\omega \colon \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to V$ and $\hat{f} \colon \{0,1\} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ we have the cross-correlation

$$\omega * \hat{f} \colon \{0,1\} imes \mathbb{R}^2 o V, \ p \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{Z} imes \mathbb{R}^2} \omega(g) \hat{f}(g.p) dg.$$

Code for Biplane CNN

```
self.conv = nn.Sequential(
    ConvBiplane (2, 4, (3, 3, 4), \text{ shift} = \text{pixel columns}),
    nn.ReLU(),
    MaxPoolBiplane(2),
    ConvBiplane(4, 8, (3, 3, 4), shift = pixel columns // 2),
    nn.ReLU(),
    MaxPoolBiplane(2),
    nn.Flatten()
)
conv out features = self.conv( mock biplane ).shape[1]
self.final layer = nn.Linear( conv out features, nb classes )
```

Classification of noisy point clouds from a sphere, a torus, and a swiss roll with subsampling:

- ▶ 60 point clouds for training each
- 250 points per point cloud with 50% of noise sampled uniformly from an enclosing cube
- ▶ 80 subsamples of 30 points each
- Accuracy after 30 epochs: 100%
- Cross entropy after 150 epochs: 0.0015



Figure 4: Filters of the first biplane convolutional layer as a pseudocolor image.

Inductive Biases

Definition (Flip-Invariance)

We say that a filter $\omega \colon \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to V$ is *flip-invariant* if

$$\omega(k,(x,y)) = \omega(k,(y,x))$$
 for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now let $\Sigma \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the glide reflection with $\Sigma(\mathbb{M}) = \mathbb{M}$ and corresponding to the degree-shift for the unravelled relative homology lattice.

Definition (Σ -Alternation)

We say that a function $g \colon \{0,1\} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to V$ is Σ -alternating if $g|_{\{1\} \times \mathbb{R}^2} \equiv 0$ and

 $g(0,\Sigma(u)) = -g(0,u)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Inclusion-Exclusion Principle

Theorem

Let $g: \{0,1\} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to V$ be Σ -alternating and let $\omega: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to V$ be compactly supported and flip-invariant. Let $K_{\bullet}, L_{\bullet} \in \operatorname{Filtr}_X$ and let $\hat{f}_0, \hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2, \hat{f}_3: \{0,1\} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be the corresponding extensions of Hilbert functions of unravelled relative homology lattices of $K_{\bullet} \cap L_{\bullet}, K_{\bullet}$, L_{\bullet} , and $K_{\bullet} \cup L_{\bullet}$, respectively. Then we have

$$\left\langle g,\omega*\hat{f}_{3}
ight
angle _{\mathcal{L}^{2}}=\left\langle g,\omega*\hat{f}_{1}
ight
angle _{\mathcal{L}^{2}}+\left\langle g,\omega*\hat{f}_{2}
ight
angle _{\mathcal{L}^{2}}-\left\langle g,\omega*\hat{f}_{0}
ight
angle _{\mathcal{L}^{2}}.$$

Here the upshot is, that by imposing inductive biases, removing activation functions and max pooling layers we obtain an invariant satisfying the inclusion-exclusion principle in the same way that removal of activation functions from an ordinary neural network yields an affine linear map.

API Documentation



https://persunraveltorch.neocities.org/latest/

[CEH07] David Cohen-Steiner, Herbert Edelsbrunner, and John Harer. "Stability of persistence diagrams". In: Discrete Comput. Geom. 37.1 (2007), pp. 103–120. ISSN: 0179-5376. DOI: 10.1007/s00454-006-1276-5.
[Deh55] René Deheuvels. "Topologie d'une fonctionnelle". In: Ann. of Math. (2) 61 (1955), pp. 13–72. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: 10.2307/1969619.
[Wan+23] Qiquan Wang et al. "Computable Stability for Persistence Rank Function Machine Learning". In: arXiv e-prints (July 2023). arXiv: 2307.02904 [math.AT].